Northwestern Medicine®

The Informatics of Attribution a story of culture + technology

2018 SSP Seminar New Directions in Strategy, Technology, and Community

Kristi Holmes, PhD Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine Departments of Preventive Medicine-Health & Biomedical Informatics Galter Health Sciences Library & Learning Center @kristiholmes

Attribution for All

- \checkmark Biomedical research evolves
- \checkmark Institutional perspectives and new models
- \checkmark Publication data and beyond
- \checkmark Meaningful assessment and impact
- ✓ Next steps



Biomedical research evolves: Accelerating discovery & implementation (context)



Environment Team Science Training Idea development Research process Communication Assessment & Improvement



Adapted from: http://www.academicdepartments.musc.edu_sebin_b_o_Translational_Science.jpg

Biomedical research evolves and opens



OPEN SCIENCE YIELDS:

- **SPEED:** The research process becomes faster
- EFFICIENCY: Data collection can be funded once, and used many times for a variety of purposes
- ACCESSIBILITY: Anyone can access and build upon research resources with minimal barriers to access
- IMPACT & LONGEVITY: Open publications and data are more discoverable and receive more citations long-term
- **TRANSPARENCY & QUALITY:** The evidence that underpins research can be made open for anyone to scrutinize and replicate findings, leading to a more robust scholarly record



Biomedical research evolves An increasing pressure to show impact

A Definition of Research Impact

Research impact is the demonstrable contribution that research makes to the economy, society, culture, national security, public policy or services, health, the environment, or quality of life, beyond contributions to academia.

Research Impact Principles and Framework, Australian Research Council http://www.arc.gov.au/general/impact.htm

Impact of NIH Research:

improvements in health through treatment and prevention, contributions to society through economic growth and productivity, and expansion of the biomedical knowledge base through cutting-edge research and cultivation of the biomedical workforce of today and tomorrow.

Our Health – promoting treatment and prevention *Our Society* – driving economic growth and productivity *Our Knowledge* – expands the biomedical knowledge base

Impact of NIH Research, National Institutes of Health, US http://www.nih.gov/about-nih/what-we-do/impact-nih-research



Biomedical research evolves Consider the entire research workforce and all that they do



Diverse outputs Diverse impacts Diverse roles

Each a critical component of the research process

- New experimental methods, data models,
 databases, or software tools
- New diagnostic criteria
- New standards of care
- Biological materials or animal models
- Consent documents
- Clinical/practice guidelines
- Quality measure guidelines
- Maps and visualizations

- Measurement instruments
- Continuing education materials
- Quality measure guidelines
- Cost-effective intervention
- Consensus development conferences
- American Medical Association Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes
- Change in delivery of healthcare services
- Gray literature



Institutional perspectives & new models

M Northwestern Medicine Northwestern Medicine I Northwestern University I Faculty Profiles Feinberg School of Medicine Faculty Affairs Office Search Faculty Affairs Office Q About Us -For Faculty For Clinical Affiliates -Career Development -For Administrators -Wellness Resources -

Feinberg Home > Home > For Administrators > Team Scientists

The Team Scientist track is for non-clinical faculty who make substantial contributions to the research and/or educational missions of the medical school. Faculty members whose primary activity is in research will typically engage in team science. Their skills, expertise and/or effort play a vital role in obtaining, sustaining and implementing programmatic research.

Faculty on this track often have expertise in epidemiology, clinical trials, biostatistics, biomedical informatics, outcomes research or other qualitative and quantitative research methodologies and generally contribute to clinical studies, patient-oriented clinical outcomes research, community-engaged research, population-based studies and/or basic science research. Typically, such faculty provide critical expertise to a program or group of research teams as a co-investigator with contributions that do not necessarily require or result in independent grant funding, but some faculty on this track may serve as principal investigator on related research. Faculty on this track do not perform clinical work but do contribute to the education and service missions of the medical school.

While most members of this track make research the major focus of their activity, for some members of this track education may be the major focus of their activity. Faculty focusing on education are typically recognized as outstanding educators and contribute to course development, degree program leadership and other innovative educational products.

For more information, view the **Information Guide for Appointments**, **Promotion and Tenure (PDF)**.

Northwestern Medicine[®]

Team Scientists

Team Scientist Ranks

Research Faculty

Undifferentiated Track

Adjunct Faculty

Contributed Services Faculty

For Administrators

Annual Processes

Clinician-Educators

Investigators

Team Scientists

Coterminous Faculty

Health System Clinicians

Team Scientist Track (variable amounts of effort distributed between research and education depending upon domain of activity)

This track is for non-clinical faculty who make substantial contributions to the research and/or educational missions of the medical school. Faculty members whose primary activity is in research will typically engage in team science. Their skills, expertise and/or effort play a vital role in obtaining, sustaining and implementing programmatic research. Faculty on this track often have expertise in epidemiology, clinical trials, biostatistics, biomedical informatics, outcomes research or other qualitative and quantitative research methodologies and generally contribute to clinical studies, patient-oriented clinical outcomes research, community-engaged research, population-based studies and/or basic science research. Typically such faculty provide critical expertise to a program or group of research teams as a co-investigator with contributions that do not necessarily require or result in independent grant funding, but some faculty on this track may serve as principal investigator on related research. Faculty on this track do not perform clinical work but do contribute to the education and service missions of the medical school. While most members of this track make research the major focus of their activity, for some members of this track education may be the major focus of their activity. Faculty focusing on education are typically recognized as outstanding educators and contribute to course development, degree program leadership, and other innovative educational products. Faculty rank in this track will be titled Assistant Professor, Associate Professor, or Professor. This is a non-tenure-eligible regular faculty track.



Institutional perspectives & new models Northwestern's Team Scientist Faculty Track

- 2015: a new "Team Scientist" track was established within our regular faculty lines to better value such scientists' contributions.
- Collaborative effort between NUCATS (Lloyd-Jones), Vice Dean for Faculty Affairs at Feinberg (Lowe), and relevant stakeholders.
- Enthusiasm on campus by collaborative scientists, successful promotion pathway
- Collaborative scientists who span content disciplines at NU now have several distinct pathways for promotion with clear metrics through our tenure-eligible, non-tenure-eligible, and research faculty lines.

Team Scientist Faculty Track Survey Results SATISFIED **Overall satisfaction with** 74% current position **Opportunity to** collaborate with other 90% faculty Sense of contributing to 83% important research **Contributions are** acknowledged via co-80% authorships **Promotion process is** 68% clear and transparent Fall, 2017 survey response rate: 81%





People Research - Publications Blog Talks And Events Join Us! - Newsletter

Preliminary Findings from the Review, Promotion, and Tenure Study

MAY 30, 2018 | IN BLOG, PRELIMINARY FINDINGS, RESEARCH | BY ALICE

https://www.scholcommlab.ca



Directors



Dr. Juan Pablo Alperin

Dr. Juan Pablo Alperin is a co-director of the

#scholcommlab, as well as an assistant professor at the Canadian Institute for Studies in Publishing and an associate director of research of the Public Knowledge Project at Simon Fraser University, Canada.



Dr. Stefanie Haustein

Dr. Stefanie Haustein is a co-director of the #scholcommlab

as well as an assistant professor at the School of

Information Studies at the University of Ottawa. Her research focuses on social media in scholarly communication, bibliometrics, and altmetrics.

Read More

Nature **508**, 312–313 (17 April 2014) doi:10.1038/508312a

Credit where credit is due

Liz Allen, Amy Brand, Jo Scott, Micah Altman and Marjorie Hlava are trialling digital taxonomies to help researchers to identify their contributions to collaborative projects.

analysis

Through the endorsement of individuals' contributions, researchers can start to move journal articles could be classified using a 14-role taxonomy (see 'Who did what?'). The survey was sent to 1,200 corresponding

esearch today is rarely a one-person contributions, researcher

CRT

COMMENT

CRediT

CRediT is high-level taxonomy, including 14 roles, that can be used to represent the roles typically played by contributors to scientific scholarly output. The roles describe each contributor's specific contribution to the scholarly output.

https://casrai.org/credit/

What about publication data?

This is an SSP event, after all

- What's here?
 - Valuable bibliographic metadata (collaborators, journal titles, affiliations, keywords and indexing, etc.)
 - CRediT roles
 - Citations to these works (source dependent)
 - Various metrics
- What's not?
 - Only traditional outputs are accounted for...so several other outputs are missed!
 - Second-degree affiliation non-affiliated papers, but written by our people (e.g., before they arrived)
 - Reliable links to funding mechanics and cultural aspects
 - Open access status? Compliance status? Etc...
 - companion digital objects, ancillary products
 - Other roles



Better attribution: extending credit beyond the publication



Adapted from Julie McMurry

NATIONAL CENTER

FOR DATA TO HEALTH

What work is being done, who is doing it, and what outputs are being created?

- 1. Understand deeply the requirements for a computable attribution system from a large diversity of stakeholders;
- 2. Build model(s) to meet these requirements (CRO, ROO);
- 3. Evaluate the models in real pilot systems with real data.

By using <u>contribution roles</u> & <u>research</u> <u>outputs</u> to develop infrastructure to understand the scholarly ecosystem, we can better understand, leverage, and credit a diverse translational workforce





Our Approach: The Informatics of Attribution

- 1. Understand deeply the requirements for a computable attribution system from a large diversity of stakeholders;
- 2. Build model(s) to meet these requirements; and
- 3. Evaluate the models in real pilot systems with real data.

Development of data models to address these needs demands a rigorous requirements-driven approach

Key modeling challenges for development of integrative community standards

- 1. Accommodation of diverse and complex data types
- 2. Support needs of different applications and systems
- 3. Interoperability with broader data landscape

Key tools necessary to drive change

- 1. Technology
- 2. Persistent identifiers
- 3. Data models
- 4. Connections of all kinds!

@kristiholmes & @ontowonka



Why now & how do we get there?

Informatics of Attribution



http://www.rand.org/content/dam/rand/pubs/research_briefs/RB9700/RB9716/RAND_RB9716.pdf

How do we get there?

Informatics of Attribution

Requires Culture & technology

- Data use, reuse, & repurposing + smarter systems
- ✓ Persistent identifiers
- ✓ Cultural interventions & algorithms
- ✓ Better representation of contributor roles (CRediT & CD2H)
- Recognition of a range of outputs (Becker Model, NISO, CD2H)
- ✓ Ideas & input from all perspectives
 ✓ A COLLABORATIVE APPROACH!

http://bit.ly/AttributionSignUp



https://www.niso.org/publications/rp-25-2016-altmetrics



https://becker.wustl.edu/impactassessment/model



Attribution for All



Desired outcomes: Machineactionable approaches to...

- Understand our changing scholarly ecosystem
- Do a better job of giving credit where credit is due
- Leverage expertise data to improve translational processes and efficiencies









Acknowledgements

- Galter Library
- Karen Gutzman, Marijane White, & Patty Smith
- Force11 Attribution WG
- Cathy Sarli
- Becker Library

Work presented here is supported in part by the National Center for Data to Health (NCATS U24TR002306), and Northwestern University Clinical and Translational Sciences Institute (NCATS UL1TR001422).

http://bit.ly/AttributionSignUp



Thank you!

Term	Definition (CRediT)
Conceptualization	Ideas; formulation or evolution of overarching research goals and aims.
Methodology	Development or design of methodology; creation of models.
Software	Programming, software development; designing computer programs; implementation of the computer code and supporting algorithms; testing of existing code components.
Validation	Verification, whether as a part of the activity or separate, of the overall replication/reproducibility of results/experiments and other research outputs.
Formal Analysis	Application of statistical, mathematical, computational, or other formal techniques to analyse or synthesize study data.
Investigation	Conducting a research and investigation process, specifically performing the experiments, or data/evidence collection.
Resources	Provision of study materials, reagents, materials, patients, laboratory samples, animals, instrumentation, computing resources, or other analysis tools.
Data Curation	Management activities to annotate (produce metadata), scrub data and maintain research data (including software code, where it is necessary for interpreting the data itself) for initial use and later re-use.
Writing – Original Draft	Preparation, creation and/or presentation of the published work, specifically writing the initial draft (including substantive translation).
Writing – Review & Editing	Preparation, creation and/or presentation of the published work by those from the original research group, specifically critical review, commentary or revision – including pre- or post-publication stages.
Visualization	Preparation, creation and/or presentation of the published work, specifically visualization/data presentation.
Supervision	Oversight and leadership responsibility for the research activity planning and execution, including mentorship external to the core team.
Project Administration	Management and coordination responsibility for the research activity planning and execution.
Funding Acquisition	Acquisition of the financial support for the project leading to this publication.

